FILED

April 01, 2024



CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

: <u>klw</u> Deputy

Certified as a true copy and issued as the mandate on Apr 01, 2024

Attest: Jyle W. Cayca Clerk, U.S. Court of Appears, Fifth Circuit No. 23-50708 Summary Calendar United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

March 8, 2024

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

James E. Russell,

Plaintiff—Appellant,

versus

AUSTIN COMMUNITY COLLEGE, (ACCelerator Staff),

Defendant—Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. 1:23-CV-788

Before CLEMENT, DUNCAN, and DOUGLAS, Circuit Judges.

JUDGMENT

This cause was considered on the record on appeal and the briefs on file.

IT IS ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the judgment of the District Court is AFFIRMED.

The judgment or mandate of this court shall issue 7 days after the time to file a petition for rehearing expires, or 7 days after entry of an order denying a timely petition for panel rehearing, petition for rehearing en banc, or motion

Case 1:23-cv-00788-RP Document 13 Filed 04/01/24 Page 2 of 5

No. 23-50708

for stay of mandate, whichever is later. See FED. R. APP. P. 41(b). The court may shorten or extend the time by order. See 5TH CIR. R. 41 I.O.P.

United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

No. 23-50708 Summary Calendar United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

March 8, 2024

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

JAMES E. RUSSELL,

Plaintiff—Appellant,

versus

AUSTIN COMMUNITY COLLEGE, (ACCelerator Staff),

Defendant—Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas USDC No. 1:23-CV-788

Before CLEMENT, DUNCAN, and DOUGLAS, *Circuit Judges*.

PER CURIAM:*

James E. Russell filed a pro se civil complaint in the district court, alleging that staff members at Austin Community College (ACC) violated Texas Penal Code § 37.08 by filing a false police report against him, and that they violated his constitutional right to free speech. He also suggested in

^{*} This opinion is not designated for publication. See 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

No. 23-50708

conclusory terms that he was a target of harassment and racial profiling. The district court dismissed the complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

On appeal, Russell contends for the first time that the ACC staff violated his constitutional right to privacy, Texas Penal Code § 42.06, and the Privacy Act of 1974. See 5 U.S.C. § 552a. This court, however, will not consider new theories of relief presented for the first time on appeal. See Leverette v. Louisville Ladder Co., 183 F.3d 339, 342 (5th Cir. 1999); Yohey v. Collins, 985 F.2d 222, 225 (5th Cir. 1993).

As to the claims he did raise, we review "de novo a district court's order dismissing a case for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction." *Khalil v. Hazuda*, 833 F.3d 463, 466 (5th Cir. 2016). Russell failed to allege facts that would support either diversity of citizenship jurisdiction or federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332. Further, his brief on appeal does not meaningfully address the district court's jurisdictional analysis.

Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is AFFIRMED.

United States Court of Appeals

FIFTH CIRCUIT OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE CLERK

TEL, 504-310-7700 600 S. MAESTRI PLACE, Suite 115 NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

April 01, 2024

Mr. Philip Devlin Western District of Texas, Austin United States District Court 501 W. 5th Street Austin, TX 78701-0000

No. 23-50708 Russell v. Austin Community College USDC No. 1:23-CV-788

Dear Mr. Devlin,

Enclosed is a copy of the judgment issued as the mandate and a copy of the court's opinion.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk

Lisa E. Ferrara

By:

Lisa E. Ferrara, Deputy Clerk 504-310-7675

cc:

Mr. James E. Russell